

**Faculty Development Grant Committee**  
**Faculty Development Grant**  
**Reviewer's Scoring Rubric**

The review criteria noted below will guide the evaluation of each individual proposal for funding.

CRITERIA	Weak	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	COMMENTS
<b>Presentation of Proposal:</b> (Style and format or Grammar) Well-written (clear, succinct, complete – no “Track Changes” or comments noted in the submission).	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Outcomes/Goals:</b> Appropriate and feasible plan of action with a timeline that will result in the accomplishment of project goals and objectives.	2	4	6	8	10	
<b>Significance:</b> Presentation of a well-focused and worthy purpose in the context of the activity with the potential to enhance the ability of faculty to be productive and innovative professionals.	2	4	6	8	10	
<b>Evaluation:</b> Inclusion of clear and realistic plan for achieving stated goals and objectives.	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Audience:</b> Articulates a plan to recruit and register a broad and relative audience, with the potential to benefit groups of faculty in a meaningful program.	1	2	3	4	5	
<b>Budget:</b> Inclusion of a full, realistic budget that is clearly stated, justified and consistent with plan for this project. Other sources of funding should be noted if need.	2	4	6	8	10	
<b>Addresses priority topic?</b>	<b>Yes</b>				<b>No</b>	
<b>Fund at full amount?</b>	<b>Yes</b>				<b>No</b>	
<b>Questions for Proposers?</b>						