

Faculty Development Grant Committee
Curriculum Related Activity Grant
Reviewer's Scoring Rubric

The review criteria noted below will guide the evaluation of each individual proposal for funding.

CRITERIA	Weak	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	COMMENTS
Presentation of Proposal: (Style and format or Grammar) Well-written (clear, succinct, complete – no “Track Changes” or comments noted in the submission).	1	2	3	4	5	
Outcomes/Goals: Appropriate and feasible plan of action with a timeline that will result in the accomplishment of project goals and objectives.	2	4	6	8	10	
Significance: Presentation of a well-focused needs assessment and rationale in the context of improved teaching, enhanced learning, and/or pedagogical changes.	2	4	6	8	10	
Evaluation: Inclusion of clear and realistic plan for achieving stated goals and objectives.	2	4	6	8	10	
Budget: Inclusion of a full, realistic budget that is clearly stated, justified and consistent with plan for this project. Other sources of funding should be noted if need.	1	2	3	4	5	
TOTAL POINTS						=
Joint Proposals: Delineates clear and detailed explanation of the responsibilities of each participating in meeting the goals and objectives, and completing the project.	Yes		No			
Addresses priority topic?	Yes		No			
Questions for Proposers?						